

Authors Names

Wallentin, Hanig et al.

Poster Title

Sharing environmental data

Please circle a number score for each of the following criteria using the rubric below.

49  
P

32

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor
<b>Content</b>	Major contribution to scientific knowledge or resource management. Interesting coverage of the topic handled with intelligence, originality, and depth.	Solid contribution to scientific knowledge or resource management. Coverage of topic is above average with satisfactory depth and development of ideas.	Sufficiently sound contribution to scientific knowledge or resource management. Coverage of topic is sufficient with average depth and development of ideas.	Potential contribution to scientific knowledge or resource management if improved. Coverage of topic is below average with un-satisfactory depth and development of ideas.	Insufficient contribution to scientific knowledge or resource management. Coverage of topic is poor with very little depth or development of ideas.
Please circle one:	15 14 13	12 11 10	9 8 7	6 5 4	3 2 1
<b>Writing</b>	Presents information in logical, interesting sequence which the audience can follow. Minimal to no spelling or grammatical errors.	Presents information in sequence which audience can follow. One or two (minor) spelling or grammatical errors.	Audience has some difficulty following poster because of occasional lapses in organization. Occasional errors in spelling and grammar.	Audience has difficulty following presentation because poster jumps from topic to topic. Frequent minor errors in spelling and grammar	Audience cannot understand the poster because there is no sequence of information. Frequent major errors in spelling and grammar
Please circle one:	15 14 13	12 11 10	9 8 7	6 5 4	3 2 1
<b>Visual Presentation</b>	Poster was very attractively presented and was superbly organized. Visual aids were appropriate and added to understanding of the topic.	Poster was attractively presented and well organized. Visual aids were appropriate and related to the topic.	Poster was somewhat attractively presented and organized. Visual aids were sufficient but could be improved.	Poster was below average with respect to visual presentation. Visual aids were insufficient or not related to the topic.	Poster was poorly presented visually.
Please circle one:	15 14 13	12 11 10	9 8 7	6 5 4	3 2 1